

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1998 LB 924

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Hillman, the reason, as you know, that I put this amendment in is that I was afraid that entities wanting an answer from the commission, and the technical review panel might get frustrated with the period of time that might pass for a response. How often does the commission meet, at right now? I mean, they could meet more, but how often would you envision them meeting?

SENATOR HILLMAN: Right now, I think they're meeting four times a year. Quarterly.

SENATOR BROMM: Quarterly. And the technical review panel, is it the intent that that would convene more frequently than that?

SENATOR HILLMAN: The technical review panel could meet more. The other thing is there is technical expertise available to assist projects that would not be necessarily a review by the technical review panel, per se. In other words, they may need some assistance from an engineer at ETV or they may need someone from DAS to help them with the project and to give them input when they're working on a project. If they're looking for state funds and appropriations, then there would be a formal process whereby then the technical review panel would sign off on what they had done, it would go to the commission, the NITC, which would then include that request in a prioritized manner of all of them that they receive in the upcoming budget bill, or, if for some reason it's an expansion that might need a deficit funding. But all this revolves around the budget process.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. And as we had discussed before, the projects that would come before this commission would be projects where we have...where we are going to be asked to commit or appropriate specific state dollars for technology, is that correct?

SENATOR HILLMAN: Yes, it is.

SENATOR BROMM: Now in the transition time here, we probably have some things where appropriations have been made, but there are projects out there that are still being developed using those appropriations. Is it your intent that with the passage of this bill that those projects would need to come before this